



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/467,706	12/20/1999	PAT CONDON	DC-01916(163	2712	
7	7590 06/24/2002				
DAVID L MCCOMBS			EXAMINER		
HAYNES AND BOONE LLP 901 MAIN STREET SUITE 3100			KEMPER, MELANIE A		
DALLAS, TX	/52023/89		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2002	DATE MAILED: 06/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/467,706	CONDON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	M Kemper	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 J</u>	anuary 2002					
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	. ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.5	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Datent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/467,706

Art Unit: 3622

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1- 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doran Jr. et al., patent number 6,385,766 in view of Henson, patent number 6,167,383.

Doran teaches a method and corresponding system of automatically manufacturing a computer comprising the steps of receiving an order from a customer, assembling together a selection of hardware, loading onto the computer a software package specified by the order (see at least col. 7, lines 35col. 8, line 5); recording modifications as an auto-configuration file and determining the configuration data corresponding thereto and entering the configuration data into the computer as the software is being loaded (see at least col. 8, line 45 – col. 9, line 20, col. 9, line 35-col. 10, line 40, col. 3, lines 35-55). Henson teaches an Internet accessible page for the customer to specify any software modifications required (see at least figs. 3b, 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the Internet accessible page in Doran since this would have been adopted for the intended use of providing convenient, user-friendly interface for inputting custom software criteria for the order entry system of Doran. Doran also teaches verifying the modifications (see at least col. 6, lines 50-55). It also would have been obvious to have verified the modifications against the order since this would Application/Control Number: 09/467,706

Art Unit: 3622

have been adopted for the intended use of avoiding errors so that the customer gets the custom computer that he/she ordered. It also would have been obvious to have the modifications logged since this would have been adopted for the intended use of generating an "as built" file for the user. It also would have been obvious to have included an auto-configuration indicator in the order since this would have been adopted for the intended use of submitting orders to a manufacturer which produces both special order and off the shelf units.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Kemper whose telephone number is 703-305-9589. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric W. Stamber can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

M Kemper

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3622

MK

June 17, 2002